

Case Docket No. ASMMC.030AUS

Date: July 15, 2005

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**Applicants** 

Niklas Bondestam et al.

Appl. No.

09/801,542

Filed

March 7, 2001

For

ALD REACTOR AND METHOD

WITH CONTROLLED WALL

**TEMPERATURE** 

Group Art Unit :

1762

Class/Sub-Class:

427-255700

Examiner

Wesley D. Markham

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

Rabinder N. Narula, Reg. No. 53,371

### TRANSMITTAL LETTER


MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE **Commissioner for Patents** P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Enclosed for filing is the Issue Fee for the above-identified application:

- Form PTOL-85. (X)
- Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance. (X)
- A check in the amount of \$1730 to cover the issue fee, publication fee, and advanced order of (X) copies is enclosed.
- The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or (X) credit any overpayment, to Account No. 11-1410.
- (X) Return prepaid postcard.

Rabinder N. Narula Registration No. 53,371 Attorney of Record Customer No. 20,995 (949) 760-0404



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**Applicant** 

Niklas Bondestam et al.

Appl. No.

09/801,542

Filed

March 7, 2001

For

ALD REACTOR AND METHOD

WITH CONTROLLED WALL

**TEMPERATURE** 

Examiner

Wesley D. Markham

Group Art Unit

1762

# **COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

### Mail Stop Amendment

**Commissioner for Patents** P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This communication is in response to the Notice of Allowability mailed on March 3, 2005.

### **REMARKS**

In discussing the Reasons for Allowance, the Examiner refers to certain features of independent Claims 35, 44, 50 and 57. Claims 37-43, 45-48, 51-52, and 53-57 recite different combinations of features and elements than those referenced by the Examiner, which are also patentable. In addition, to the extent that the Examiner's paraphrasing of the subject matter of Claims 24, 27 and 39 differs from the language of the allowed claims, Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Reasons for Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: 7-15-05

By:

Rabinder N. Narula Registration No. 53,371 Attorney of Record

Customer No. 20,995

(949) 760-0404